

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

April 16, 2002 LB 1185

the Legislature in charge of determining policy in this area and not the courts. So I would like to see us adopt this amendment and put the number back at nine or less. I have not seen any data or information to indicate what types of insurance are available to agriculture and the cost of what might be available. We've heard arguments today about how dangerous the ag work is, that that's true. I think more people are killed in my country from too much drinking than they are from ag stuff but...so if...but if it's true and ag employees would be rated in hazardous ratings, meaning the cost would be high, and I'm wondering, Senator Chambers questioned Senator Burling about the cost. I think maybe Senator Burling is right, the cost for these dangerous jobs would be horrendous with workers' comp. And I'm somewhat apprehensive about mandating ag employer participation in workers' comp without knowing what the cost is that we're imposing on those farmers. With that, I'm going to close and ask that you support my amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Dierks. For discussion on FA1088 to the committee amendment, Senator Connealy, you're recognized to speak.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Madam President, members. We have to draw a line. We have to draw a line somewhere and that's what we're trying to do. We negotiated this in the committee and with the introducer. I don't know if six is the right spot, I don't know if ten is the right spot. This is where we came down as a line to decide whether an employer that has nonrelated people in a feedlot needs to be. So I'm going to stand with the committee amendment and oppose the Dierks amendment. I think that if you get to this size that you should know that you are large enough where you ought to be covered by workers' comp. I understand that the industry would like to have as many uncovered as possible. I think that we need to at least set a line. Ten would work but the committee negotiated the number six and that's where I think that we should stay.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Bromm, you are recognized to speak.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Madam President. Yes, Senator